UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,038	02/27/2006	Yuji Okamoto	64985(49381)	1093
	7590 12/27/201 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5587		LEWIS, LISA C		
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2436	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/570,038	OKAMOTO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Lisa Lewis	2436

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 November 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but price (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerate (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better forr appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding to the content of the</li></ul>	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befor	re or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered cient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered affidavit or other evidence failed affidavit or other entered affidavit or other evidence failed affidavit or other e	me <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	e status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S 13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)
/Nasser Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436	/L. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 2436